


## 46 responses

### Pre-conference Workshops (March 13-14, 2017):


#### P-1) Doing Digital Scholarship in Japanese Studies: Innovations and Challenges

Poor	<b>0</b>	0%
Fair	<b>0</b>	0%
Good	<b>1</b>	2.2%
Very good	<b>7</b>	15.2%
Excellent	<b>8</b>	17.4%
I did not attend	<b>30</b>	65.2%




## P-2) 2017 CEAL Cataloging Workshop

Poor	<b>0</b>	0%
Fair	<b>0</b>	0%
Good	<b>4</b>	8.7%
Very good	<b>5</b>	10.9%
Excellent	<b>5</b>	10.9%
I did not attend	<b>32</b>	69.6%




### P-3) 2017 CEAL ERMB Workshop: OpenURL, COUNTER, and SUSHI

Poor	<b>0</b>	0%
Fair	<b>2</b>	4.3%
Good	<b>3</b>	6.5%
Very good	<b>4</b>	8.7%
Excellent	<b>2</b>	4.3%
I did not attend	<b>35</b>	76.1%



#### P-4) OCLC CJK User Group Meeting

Poor	<b>0</b>	0%
Fair	<b>0</b>	0%
Good	<b>2</b>	4.3%
Very good	<b>7</b>	15.2%
Excellent	<b>4</b>	8.7%
I did not attend	<b>33</b>	71.7%




## CEAL Meetings: (March 15-16, 2017):

### I. Plenary Sessions:


#### a. What do you think of the length of the entire plenary sessions?

Too short	2	4.3%
Just right	35	76.1%
Too long	5	10.9%
I did not attend	4	8.7%




## b. Presidential Panel: Challenges and Opportunities: East Asian Librarianship Today and Tomorrow

Poor	<b>2</b>	4.3%
Fair	<b>6</b>	13%
Good	<b>14</b>	30.4%
Very good	<b>14</b>	30.4%
Excellent	<b>6</b>	13%
I did not attend	<b>4</b>	8.7%




### c. Vice Presidential Roundtable: Organizational Models of Future East Asian Libraries

Poor	<b>2</b>	4.3%
Fair	<b>7</b>	15.2%
Good	<b>10</b>	21.7%
Very good	<b>17</b>	37%
Excellent	<b>5</b>	10.9%
I did not attend	<b>5</b>	10.9%



#### d. Faculty Lecture


Poor	<b>2</b>	4.3%
Fair	<b>2</b>	4.3%
Good	<b>9</b>	19.6%
Very good	<b>10</b>	21.7%
Excellent	<b>12</b>	26.1%
I did not attend	<b>11</b>	23.9%






### e. Librarian Poster Sessions: East Asian Librarians with Digital Humanities

Poor	<b>0</b>	0%
Fair	<b>0</b>	0%
Good	<b>6</b>	13%
Very good	<b>12</b>	26.1%
Excellent	<b>21</b>	45.7%
I did not attend	<b>7</b>	15.2%




## II. Membership Committee Program: Competencies Needed for Future East Asian Studies Librarians

Poor	<b>0</b>	0%
Fair	<b>2</b>	4.3%
Good	<b>6</b>	13%
Very good	<b>4</b>	8.7%
Excellent	<b>5</b>	10.9%
I did not attend	<b>29</b>	63%




### III. Small Collections Roundtables

Poor	<b>0</b>	0%
Fair	<b>0</b>	0%
Good	<b>2</b>	4.3%
Very good	<b>0</b>	0%
Excellent	<b>0</b>	0%
I did not attend	<b>44</b>	95.7%




#### IV. Librarian-Led Review of Chinese Online Resources, with Response from the Vendors

Poor	<b>2</b>	4.3%
Fair	<b>2</b>	4.3%
Good	<b>11</b>	23.9%
Very good	<b>4</b>	8.7%
Excellent	<b>4</b>	8.7%
I did not attend	<b>23</b>	50%




## V. Committee on Public Services Program: Extending Our Reach in Public Services

Poor	<b>1</b>	2.2%
Fair	<b>4</b>	8.7%
Good	<b>9</b>	19.6%
Very good	<b>12</b>	26.1%
Excellent	<b>3</b>	6.5%
I did not attend	<b>17</b>	37%




**VI. Committee on Technical Processing Program: Creative Solutions for Effective Technical Processing**

Poor	<b>0</b>	0%
Fair	<b>4</b>	8.7%
Good	<b>5</b>	10.9%
Very good	<b>4</b>	8.7%
Excellent	<b>4</b>	8.7%
I did not attend	<b>29</b>	63%




## VII. Committee on Korean Materials Program: Korean Special Collections and Archives

Poor	<b>0</b>	0%
Fair	<b>0</b>	0%
Good	<b>2</b>	4.3%
Very good	<b>4</b>	8.7%
Excellent	<b>1</b>	2.2%
I did not attend	<b>39</b>	84.8%



### VIII. Committee on Chinese Materials Program: Chinese Studies Librarianship: Adapting to Emerging Academic needs in the New Era


Poor	<b>2</b>	4.3%
Fair	<b>3</b>	6.5%
Good	<b>7</b>	15.2%
Very good	<b>10</b>	21.7%
Excellent	<b>4</b>	8.7%
I did not attend	<b>20</b>	43.5%





### IX. Committee on Japanese Materials Program: Problems and Concerns for Libraries in Building a Collection of Pop Culture Materials for Research and Teaching


Poor	<b>0</b>	0%
Fair	<b>2</b>	4.3%
Good	<b>8</b>	17.4%
Very good	<b>6</b>	13%
Excellent	<b>0</b>	0%
I did not attend	<b>30</b>	65.2%



# General Questions:


**Attend CEAL committee meetings [G-1]. Please prioritize your purpose of attending the annual meeting]**

Very important	<b>27</b>	58.7%
Somewhat important	<b>9</b>	19.6%
Important	<b>7</b>	15.2%
Not that important	<b>3</b>	6.5%




**Learn practical skills/knowledge [G-1]. Please prioritize your purpose of attending the annual meeting]**

Very important	<b>27</b>	60%
Somewhat important	<b>10</b>	22.2%
Important	<b>7</b>	15.6%
Not that important	<b>1</b>	2.2%




**Network with peers [G-1]. Please prioritize your purpose of attending the annual meeting]**

Very important	<b>32</b>	71.1%
Somewhat important	<b>9</b>	20%
Important	<b>4</b>	8.9%
Not that important	<b>0</b>	0%




**Meet with vendors [G-1]. Please prioritize your purpose of attending the annual meeting]**

Very important	<b>10</b>	22.2%
Somewhat important	<b>18</b>	40%
Important	<b>9</b>	20%
Not that important	<b>8</b>	17.8%



**other [G-1]. Please prioritize your purpose of attending the annual meeting**

Very important	6	31.6%
Somewhat important	6	31.6%
Important	3	15.8%
Not that important	4	21.1%




**Add comments in the box below if you chose “other”**

brainstorm new ideas  
learn research trends by attending some part of AAS  
Share thoughts and ideas with peers  
Meeting and discussing peers for socialization as well as collaborative projects  
attend EB meetings  
Meet with the faculty members not from my institute  
Find out trends  
understand new trends in Asian studies


**G-2). What do you think of the length of the entire annual meeting (including pre-conference)?**

Too short	<b>4</b>	9.1%
Just right	<b>31</b>	70.5%
Too long	<b>9</b>	20.5%



**G-3). What do you think of the length of individual meetings?**

They are too short	<b>1</b>	2.2%
They are just right	<b>31</b>	67.4%
They are too long	<b>2</b>	4.3%
Some are too short	<b>3</b>	6.5%
Some are too long	<b>8</b>	17.4%



**G-4). Do you prefer overlapping sessions so that each session can have more time, or no overlapping sessions so that people will be able to attend all meetings?**

Overlapping sessions would be fine.

overlapping session for easier organize

Yes.

Yes.

No concurrent sessions between Wednesday and Thursday Some EA librarians can attend each language's session respectively.

I'd prefer no overlapping sessions if possible. Also, it would be nice if the sessions could be reduced or merged so that we could also have some time to attend some AAS panels to learn research trends.

I rather have overlapping sessions to allow each program ample time.

No overlapping sessions

I am agnostic.

I prefer overlapping sessions. Good to have options.

Overlapping sessions would be a better approach

Overlapping sessions - I have no need to attend many of the meetings

Not sure. Maybe each year one or two committees could have longer sessions, but no overlapping, so everyone could go to all.

No. I prefer fewer overlapping meetings.

No overlapping

No overlaps is better for me.

no overlap

overlapping

It is fine to have overlapping sessions for pre-conference workshops and evening sessions, but not during the Plenary and Committee sessions.

I strongly prefer no overlapping sessions especially when it comes to cataloging workshop.

It is almost impossible to avoid conflicts.

No overlapping session.

I think one hour for a session is sufficient. They can be overlapping.

I'm all in favor of overlapping some sessions. In fact, it would be ideal if CEAL could overlap with AAS!

no overlapping

No overlap although I don't need to attend all meetings

No overlapping, if possible.

n

No overlapping sessions

overlapping sessions



I don't mind overlapping sessions; there are some meetings that aren't relevant to my work and wouldn't attend even if I had the time.

As less overlapping sessions as possible.

**G-5). If workshops are to be organized again, do you prefer pre-conference (on Monday or Tuesday) or post-conference (on Friday) workshops?**

Pre-conference

pre-conference

pre=conference

Yes.

Tue works perfectly.

Pre-conference. I think we shouldn't overlap the entire day on Friday with AAS.

I prefer on Monday and Tuesday.

pre-conference on Monday or Tuesday

I prefer post-conference, so that I do not have to use a regular workday to travel back home.

Definitely pre-conference as I try to go to panels at AAS.

Friday

Tuesday or Friday. Monday-Tuesday NCC workshop was too long for me to attend in conjunction with CEAL too.

Friday is better.

Definitely not Monday.

It might be nice to try workshops on Friday. For one thing, it would provide a good reason to stay during the main part of AAS and at the same time perhaps attend some AAS sessions.

pre. do not conflict with AAS.

Post-conference

Pre-conference on Tue.

As I would also like to attend AAS, and if there's also a pre-conference the whole thing will take up an entire week. More overlap and co-sessions with AAS is desirable, so I prefer post-conference workshops.

Either way is fine as long as the cataloging workshop is held for at least one to two days.

Librarians also attend AAS panels presented by their faculty and students. Definitely, pre-conference is better.

Pre-conference workshop works better

Pre-conference workshops.

Pre-conference. Hotels would be cheaper before the AAS starts.

Post-conference would be best, so we can combine it with the AAS conference.

preconference

Post-conference, so that we can also attend some AAS sessions.

I prefer Tuesday pre-conference.

I prefer pre-conference workshops, since I attend AAS panels Friday through Sunday.

## **G-6). What do you think of the timing, format, and length of the CEAL Membership Reception?**

Good

just right

I didn't attend the reception.

Thanks to CEAL and U of T for organizing such a successful reception! The timing and format are fine. It is a bit too short, though.

Just right.

Fine!

I did not attend.

Just right. It would be nice to extend invitation to the CORMOSEA members.

Did not attend

It was good. Much better than the dinners.

I wasn't able to attend.

Appropriate

Fine the way it is

It's fine.

good enough!

Very good.

I thought it was very good this year.

good

It is fine with me.

N/A

Timing, format, and the length are fine as they are now.

I think it is a great idea to have the reception at a local university. Perhaps the poster session can take place right before the reception.

It might be better to do it after the Plenary session.

I like it

It worked.

It's good. However, it might give hosting library too much burden--some libraries have to do it repeatedly.

Ok.

Didn't attend

The reception is fine. This year's venue, while nice, was a bit crowded.

## **G-7). What topics would you like to suggest for future CEAL meetings and programs?**

Born-digital objects: the follow-up session of the Jim Neal's presentation

For presenters (especially committee sessions), priority of consideration should be given to librarians that have never had opportunities to present before. There were librarians that could present at even more than one session in the annual conference. That does not look fair and balanced.

It is always interesting to attend 'trending' topic sessions.

web archiving

social media

Digital scholarship Future of area studies

Lightning talk

Born digital collections, Archiving process.

- Succession Planning issues both in North America and in East Asian Libraries - Developing and managing digital collections - Copyright issues for CJK - Internationalization of Academic institutions in North America and our role (Public Service) - How to go about building a special collection - How to write winning grant proposals / joint proposals with faculty - Basic information on how CEAL operates, how to get involved - How to write book reviews - how to get published - How to teach information literacy to international / CJK students And the list goes on. Thank you organizers always for arranging terrific sessions!

Topics that correlate to the AAS theme Perhaps CEAL can consider calling for panels like AAS Leadership cultivation.

I think there needs to be more discussion with the other area-studies librarians -- for example, SE Asia (CORMOSEA) and South Asia (CONSALD). I would like to see a panel that allows these groups to inform each other.

Museum librarianship

invite more faculty to talk about research and teaching trends in their areas

Scholarship produced by librarians would be an interesting topic, as librarians are often perceived as simply being supporters of scholarship even though some librarians do their own research. Another topic worth featuring would be collaboration between East Asian libraries in North America with libraries overseas.

Introduce the strength of your home institution's collections and services. Bridge collaborations between subject librarians and catalogers.

## **G-8). What was your overall impression of the 2017 CEAL Annual Meeting?**

Good!

Very good

Fair

Very good.

Very Good! It runs smoothly and flawless.

It is a great meeting which provided enriched information and chances for colleagues to share their best practice.

Efficient and progressive.

I found it very informative and enjoyed myself very much. Congratulations on another successful conference.

positive; the poster sessions were amazing.

Excellent

Could have been more helpful. I don't find the plenary sessions very useful in terms of having practical, applicable information. The speakers are usually too high-level to have any idea what we do in EA studies librarianship and are too vague.

A good, stimulating meeting.

Good, but as usual very exhausting!

Poor quality of the plenary and vp panel made it mostly a waste of time.

Excellent.

I wish we suffered less technical difficulties at Sheraton hotel. During plenary session the Skype conference call was cut off several times. Other than this glitch, everything was good.

good

Better than last year

It was good.

Very informative and interesting!

Very good. Pertinent. Lively.

Great conference

It was an informative meeting overall. I usually learn a lot when I attend CEAL, and this year was no exception.

It was an excellent meeting overall. Thanks for your hard work.

## **G-9). Other Comments?**

We come to CEAL to strengthen our professional knowledge and skills, so are able to meet enormous challenges in the coming years.

It would be better to consider some warm place for the conference. Time is also better to be in the late 20s of March. This year Toronto was too cold!

Finding effective faculty speakers is challenging. Some people seem to get better leads than others.

Thank you very much.

Curious to learn how to get more involved. I am new to EAS librarianship. I felt I could have spoken/presented on some topics in the pre-conference as I am publishing in these areas. My question is

how to get better connected in the future (and promote work that I am doing in advance) as an unknown newcomer to CEAL. How to get more involved as a volunteer?

Thank you organizers for putting so much effort and time in making CEAL possible!