

# **Survey results on Oriprobe services**

Luo Zhou (Duke University Libraries)

Representing a group of Chinese Studies Librarians

# The Survey on Oriprobe Services

- Participants: 20 libraries (18 are current customers of Oriprobe Services)
- Products:
  1. People's Daily 人民日报: 17 libraries
  2. Global Times 环球时报: 3 libraries
  3. Archives of the Chinese Government 中国政府资料库: 4 libraries
  4. Archives of the Communist Party of China (CPC) 中国共产党数据库: 4 libraries
  5. The Database of the National People's Congress (NPC) 全国人民代表大会资料库: 5 libraries
  6. The Database of Chinese People's Political Consultative Conference 中国人民政治协商会议资料库: 2 libraries

# Purchase/Access model

- Subscription
- Perpetual access: 2 YES; 3 NO; others not clear
- Text/data mining: 2 YES; other NO or not clear

## **Problem**

- Unclear terms on perpetual access / archiving
- Unclear terms on text/data mining

# Pricing issue

- Big increase in recent years
  - Budget: shrinking; flat; inflation increase
  - Importance compared to other resources
- Uniqueness/Importance of the content
  - Oripobe 1946-present
  - CNKI core newspapers: 2000-present
  - Factiva: 2010 – present

## **Problem**

- No competition / Market dominance

# Solution?

- Libraries: can we find a solution together?
- Publishers: can there be a competitor?

**Thank you!**